

TRANS CERTIFICATION & INSPECTION SDN. BHD.



Contacts

09-5751333 / 0139108724

Address

B30, Level 1, Jalan IM 2/5, Bandar Indera
Mahkota, 25200 Kuantan, Pahang

PUBLIC SUMMARY REPORT

MS 2530-3:2013

Malaysia Sustainable Palm Oil (MSPO)

**Part 3: General Principal for Oil Palm Plantations and
Organised Smallholders**

**NAME OF CLIENT: FGV PLANTATION (MALAYSIA) SDN BHD
CHUPING AGRO VALLEY**

STANDARD: MS 2530-3:2013

ANNUAL SURVEILLANCE ASSESSMENT 2

DATE: 14 OCTOBER 2024

TABLE OF CONTENT


No.	Content	Page
1.	Detail of Certification Assessment	3
	-Type of Certification Assessment	3
	-Scope of MSPO Certification	3
	-MSPO Standards used for the Assessment	3
	-Map with geographical coordinate.	3
2.	Details of The Certified Entity	5
	-Name of Certified Entity	5
	-Main Address	5
	-Management and contact person's details	5
	-MPOB License	5
	-Estimated Tonnages of Annual FFB Production	5
	-Date of Certificate Issued and validity	5
	-Total Certified Area	5
3.	Assessment Process	7
	3.1 Certification Bodies	7
	3.2 Audit Plan	8
	3.3 Proposed Date of Next Surveillance Audit	10
	3.4 Audit 5 Year Audit Programme	10
4.	Summary of Audit Results	11
	4.1 Lead Auditor's Summary and Recommendation for Certification	11
	4.2 Claim - Verification and Use of Marks/Logo	11
	4.3 Summary of Non-Conformance and Current Status	12
	4.4 Issues raised during Stakeholder Consultation	13
	4.5 Previous Audit Verification	14
	4.6 Changes Since Previous Audit	15
5.	Official Signed-Off of The Assessment Findings	16
	5.1 Signing by the Lead Auditor	16
	5.2 Responses by Certified Entities	17
6.	Certified Body Acknowledgement of Certification Decision	18
	6.1 Signing by Certifier	18


1. DETAIL OF CERTIFICATION ASSESSMENT

Type of Certification Assessment	Annual Surveillance 2 (ASA 2)
Audit Objectives	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Determination of the non-conformity of the client's management system, or parts of it, with audit criteria 2. Determination of the ability of the management system to ensure client meets applicable statutory, regulatory and contractual agreement 3. Determination of the effectiveness of the management system to ensure client can reasonably expect to achieve it specific objective 4. Identification of area for improvement of the management system, as if applicable
Scope of MSPO Certification	Oil Palm Plantations and Organised Smallholders
MSPO Criteria and Standards used for the Assessment	MS 2530-3:2013 – General Principles for Oil Palm Plantations and Organised Smallholders

Map with geographical coordinate

FGV CHUPING AGRO VALLEY





2. DETAILS OF THE CERTIFIED ENTITY

Name of Certified Entity		FGV PLANTATION (MALAYSIA) SDN BHD
Main Address		Wisma FGV, Jalan Raja Laut, 50350 Kuala Lumpur
Management and contact person's details	Name:	Ahmad Shahrir Ismail
	Phone Number:	-
	Fax Number:	-
	Email Address:	-
MPOB License	MPOB License's No.:	620252002000
	Expiry date:	30.04.2025
Estimated Tonnages of Annual FFB Production		-
Scope of Activity		Production of Sustainable Fresh Fruit Bunch (FFB)
Date of certificate issued and validity		13 th December 2022 until 12 th December 2027
Other sustainability certifications		N/A

Certified Area		
Estates	Total Planted Area (Ha)	Total Area (Ha)
FGV Chuping Agro Valley	127.85	127.85

3. ASSESSMENT PROCESS

3.1 Certification Bodies

No	Audit Team Members	Role & Principles Audited	Qualifications
1	ERMADASILA BIN MOHAMAD [EBM]	Lead Auditor Principle: 2 & 6	Post graduate qualification in Master in Science (MSc) by Research with 7 years working experience in Lecturer and manufacturing activities. Lecturer in Applied Science Faculty, University Teknologi MARA Cawangan Pahang since 2014, expert in Biodiversity and Environmental assessment. Completed Malaysian Sustainable Palm Oil Lead Auditor course in 2017.
2	MOHD JOHARI BIN MD KASSIM [MJK]	Auditor Principle: 1 & 5	Graduated qualification in Biology with 12 years working experience in palm oil and sales industry. Involved in MSPO auditing since Oct 2017. Fully trained in similar agriculture certification programmes such as RSPO SCCS, ISCC, INS. Member of TCI audit team since 2017. Involved in audits conducted in Malaysia, Completed ISO 9001:2015 lead auditor course in January 2016.
3	ESNAN BIN AB. GHANI [EAG]	Auditor Principle: 3 & 4	Post graduate qualification in Master of Science in United Kingdom University with more than 20 years' experience in Plantation and agriculture. Accomplished Lead Auditor Course (2015), (EMS) ISO 14001:2015, Lead Auditor Course SCCS 2019 (2019) and Peer Review Training Course 1-2017 (MSPO) (MPOCC). Experience in conducting MSPO Audit as Lead Auditor since 2014. Able to speak and understand in Bahasa Melayu and English.

4	MOHD FIKHRI HAIZUM BIN ISAMUDDIN [MFH]	Auditor in Training Principle: 2 & 6	Graduate in Executive Bachelor in Plantation Management at Asia eUniversity and Diploma Mechanical Engineering (Agricultural) Politeknik Kota Bharu. Has 15 years of working experience in Plantation Management. Completed in MSPO Lead Auditor Course 2530: 2022, Lead Auditor Integrated Management System (IMS) Exemplar Global Certified & ISO 9001:2015 Lead Auditor Training Quality Management System (QMS). Able to speak and understand in Bahasa Malaysia and English.
---	--	---	---

3.2 Audit Plan

AUDIT AGENDA

DATE	TIME	SUBJECT	AUDITOR
14 th October 2024 (Monday)	09:00 – 09:30	Centralize Opening Meeting at FGV Chuping Agro Valley: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Presentation by the manager/coordinator Presentation by Lead Auditor. Confirmation of assessment scope and finalize Audit Plan (for <i>Main Assessment Audit</i>, the stakeholder consultations shall be held along the audit progress) 	EBM/MJK/EAG/ MFH
	09:30 – 12:00	FGV Chuping Agro Valley: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Site inspection (Part 3): Field inspection, boundary inspection, fertilizer application, field spraying, harvesting, workers interview, buffer zone, conservation area, office, workshop, agriculture best practices, chemical store, and pre-mixing, etc. Non-conformity Verification for Previous Year Audit Verify any outstanding issues, auditor discussion. 	EBM/MJK/EAG/ MFH
	12:00 – 13:00	FGV Chuping Agro Valley: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Document Audit: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Documents review P1 – P3 (part 3), P1: Management commitment and responsibility, P2: Transparency, P3: Compliance to legal requirement, 	P1: MJK P2: EBM/MFH P3: EAG
	13:00 – 14:00	Lunch	

	14:00 – 16:30	FGV Chuping Agro Valley: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Document Audit: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Document review P4-P6 (Part 3), P4: Social Responsibility, Health, Safety and Employment Conditions P5: Environment, Natural Resources, Biodiversity and Ecosystem Service. P6: Best Practices 	P4: EAG P5: MJK P6: EBM/MFH
	16:30 – 17:30	Closing Meeting at FGV Chuping Agro Valley: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Chaired by the audit Lead Auditor <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Welcome and introduction by the Lead Auditor Presentation of findings by the audit team Questions & answers and Final summary by Lead Auditor End of Assessment	EBM/MJK/EAG/MFH

3.3 Proposed Date of Next Surveillance Audit

Date of next ASA	
The provisional date for the next ASA is:	September 2025

3.4 Audit 5 Year Audit Programme for FGV Chuping Agro Valley

Estate	1 st Year	2 nd Year	3 rd Year	4 th Year	5 th Year
FGV Chuping Agro Valley	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

4. SUMMARY OF AUDIT RESULTS

4.1 Lead Auditor's Summary and Recommendation for Certification

This on-site assessment was conducted from the **14th October 2024**. The audit program is included on the Audit Agenda. The approach to the audit was to treat the FGV INTEGRATED FARMING HOLDINGS SDN BHD – FGV CHUPING AGRO VALLEY as an MSPO Certification Unit. A range of environmental and social factors was covered. This includes consideration of photography, palm age, proximity to areas with HBV, declared conservation areas, and local communities.

During the audit, several non-conformities were raised. There were 1 Major non-conformity, and 3 Opportunities for Improvements raised during the audit. Since there were major non-conformity, FGV CHUPING AGRO VALLEY representatives have addressed a proper action plan and evidence to close all non-conformities to ensure certification continuation. All action plans have been reviewed and verified by the Lead Auditor. Continuation of certification for FGV CHUPING AGRO VALLEY is recommended based on CAR Form evaluation done by Lead Auditor and subjected to Certifier/ Scheme Coordinator decision. The effectiveness and continuation of the implementation shall be verified again in the next audit

The audit team conducts the audit based on the sampling process of the available information.

4.2 Claim - Verification and Use of Marks/Logo (MSPO, TCI or MPOCC Logo)

Based on the on-site audit process of ASA 2 (FGV INTEGRATED FARMING HOLDINGS SDN BHD – FGV CHUPING AGRO VALLEY), through visibility and interviews with management representative. It has been confirmed that the management does not use Marks/logos. The management has also been informed that it is not allowed to use the MSPO logo if it is not approved by the MPOCC.

4.3 Summary of Non-Conformance and Current Status

<input type="checkbox"/>	No NCR recorded. Recommended certification
<input type="checkbox"/>	Minor NCR(s) recorded. Corrective action plan has been accepted. Verification of the NCR(s) to be carried out in the next audit
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Major NCR(s) recorded. Evidence of implementation of the corrective actions have been provided and accepted by the audit team. The NCR(s) have been satisfactorily closed out.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Recommended to continue certification
<input type="checkbox"/>	Major NCR(s) recorded. Evidence of implementation of the corrective actions have been provided but cannot fully accepted by the audit team. NCR(s) have not been closed out within 90 days of the audit. Recommended for suspension of the certificate

Audit Outcome	
Major NC	1
Minor NC	0
Opportunity For Improvement (OFI)	3

4.4 Issues raised during Stakeholder Consultation:

A stakeholders' consultation meeting was conducted on Main Assessment to gather information from the local communities in accordance to Certification Scheme and Stakeholder Consultation requirements.

The subject of discussions are as below:

- a. Introduction
- b. Development of oil palm plantations
- c. Community service and support provided wildlife management and wildlife corridor
- d. Type of wildlife sighted.
- e. Local communities' development.
- f. Safety and Health

The following relevant subject have been discussed during the stakeholders' consultation

Subject Discussed	Response and Action taken by Company
No raised issued.	Not applicable.

** (Stakeholder Consultation is compulsory during Main Assessment only but if there is issue raised during Annual Surveillance Audit, the stakeholder Consultation will be conducted)*

4.5 Previous Audit Verification

Effectiveness of corrective action implementation for non-conformities (both major and minor findings) raised during previous audit is being evaluated in this year audit, in ensuring appropriate action has been successfully executed/ carried out as per corrective action plan and evidences provided by the certified client in the previous year CAR Form.

Based on Lead Auditor's and audit team verification, it can be concluded that:

- ☒ all the corrective action plan of non-conformities (both major and minor findings) raised during previous audit has been effectively implemented by the certified entities.
- ☒ all Opportunity for Improvement (OFI's) raised has been implemented accordingly by the certified client.
- ☐ Inadequate implementation of corrective action plans for non-conformities raised during previous audit.

Details on the previous non-conformity evaluation and verification is available in the Full Audit Assessment Report.

4.6 Changes Since Previous Audit

a. Changes on Client's Management System.

Please tick (if any):

<input type="checkbox"/>	Legal, Commercial, organizational status or ownership
<input type="checkbox"/>	Key managerial person or the organization and management
<input type="checkbox"/>	New contact Personnel, client's address and site
<input type="checkbox"/>	Scope of operations under certified management system
<input type="checkbox"/>	Major changes to the management system and processes
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Not applicable as there is no changes since previous audit

Justification for above changes:

b. Changes to the Certified Product

Please tick (if any):

<input type="checkbox"/>	Additional of new estate
<input type="checkbox"/>	Reduction of estate
<input type="checkbox"/>	Changes in estate hectarage area
<input type="checkbox"/>	Changes in MPOB License number
<input type="checkbox"/>	Changes in MPOB License expiry date
<input type="checkbox"/>	Changes in client's office coordinate
<input type="checkbox"/>	Changes in client's estate coordinate
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Not applicable as there is no changes since previous audit

Justification for above changes:

5. OFFICIALS SIGNED-OFF OF THE ASSESSMENT FINDINGS

5.1 Signing by the Lead Auditor

I the undersigned, being the Lead Auditor, confirm that this report is an accurate record of the findings and of the closing meeting. I hereby further confirm that:

- ☒ the summary of the findings as presented in this report are a true representation of the actual findings of the audit team.
- ☒ Previous audit findings have been verified with satisfactory implementation.
- ☒ The correction, corrective action plan, and evidence for any major non-conformities has been reviewed and accepted. The effectiveness of implementation shall be reviewed in the next year audit.
- ☐ The correction and corrective action plan, for any minor non-conformities has been reviewed and accepted.
- ☒ all Opportunity for Improvement (OFI's) raised has been implemented accordingly by the certified client.
- ☐ All of the changes occurred since previous audit has been verified to be true during the audit.

Based on all above evaluation, in conclusion, I recommend:

- ☒ for continuation of MSPO certification
- ☐ Not to continue with MSPO certification due to reason: _____

For **FGV INTEGRATED FARMING HOLDINGS SDN BHD – FGV CHUPING AGRO VALLEY** Certification Unit

Acknowledged by:

Name: MR. ERMADASILA BIN MOHAMAD

Position: LEAD AUDITOR

Date: 02 DECEMBER 2024



Signature

5.2 Responses by Certified Entities:

I the undersigned, being the management representative of the operation seeking or holding certification, agree with the contents and audit findings as presented in this document.

I also confirm:

- Acceptance of liability in execution of the instructions given.
- That this company was made aware that the findings of the audit team are tentative; pending review and decision making by the duly designated representatives of Trans Certification & Inspection Sdn. Bhd.
- That during the closing meeting all agenda items was covered by the Lead Auditor.

Acknowledged by:	
Name:	NOROLSAIFUL HAZRI BIN HAMID
Position:	HEAD, SUSTAINABILITY COMPLIANCE MONITORING
Date:	15 APRIL 2025



Signature

6. CERTIFIED BODY ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF CERTIFICATION DECISION

6.1 Signing by Certifier

I, the undersigned Certifier, hereby confirm that I have conducted review as below:

- ☒ the information provided by the audit team is sufficient with respect to certification requirements and the scope for certification
- ☒ The correction, corrective action plan, and evidence for any major non-conformities has been reviewed, and accepted. The effectiveness of implementation shall be reviewed in the next year audit.
- ☐ The correction and corrective action plan, for any minor non-conformities has been reviewed and accepted.
- ☒ Audit Report has been sufficiently prepared by the audit team
- ☒ Audit team has commented on the non-conformities, where applicable, the correction and corrective actions taken by client.
- ☒ Audit team had performed evaluation for effectiveness of corrective action plan for pervious audit findings
- ☒ Has performed confirmation of the information provided to TRANS in the Application Form
- ☒ Has performed confirmation that the audit objectives have been achieved
- ☐ Acknowledged on the changes states in point 4.6
- ☐ Has reviewed the result of recertification audit, the result of the review of system over the period of certification and complaints received from users of certification (*applicable for granting recertification only*).

Based on all the above confirmation, I the undersign Certifier recommended:

- ☐ Granting Certificate
- ☒ Maintaining Certificate
- ☐ Re-certify
- ☐ Suspend Certificate

For **FGV INTEGRATED FARMING HOLDINGS SDN BHD – FGV CHUPING AGRO VALLEY** certification unit.

For Certification Suspension Action (*Only applicable for suspended client*)

Suspension date: _____

Reason for suspension: _____

Date of uplift suspension: _____

Reason for uplift suspension: _____

Recommendation after suspension:

- ☐ Maintaining Certificate
- ☐ Re-certify

For _____ (*Client's name*) _____ certification unit.

Acknowledged by:

Name: ATIKA BINTI BAKAR

Position: CERTIFIER

Date: 15 APRIL 2025



Signature

-End of Report-